

**Minutes of the Planning Committee Meeting held on Monday 15<sup>th</sup> May 2023**

**Present:** Councillor K McKay (Chair), Mrs C Thomas, Mrs N Whalley, Mrs L Willis, Mrs F C-Wilson, Mrs J Atherton.

**1) To accept Apologies for Absence**

Mrs N Griffiths – Other Business.

**2) To record declaration of interest from members in any item to be discussed.**

None

**3) Public participation**

None

**4) The following applications were reviewed:**

App. No.	Location	Description	Decision
23/0261	49 Naze Lane Freckleton Preston PR4 1RH	Extension & alteration of the dwelling: 1) single storey side extension, 2) ground floor window insertion to northern side elevation of main dwelling	Freckleton Parish Council support this Application.
23/0272	Red Gables Kirkham Road North of Bypass Freckleton Preston PR4 1HY	Extension and alteration of the dwelling: 1) first floor extension to include ridge raise of main dwelling and provision of roof lights, 2) partial timber cladding of dwelling, 3) replacement windows.	Freckleton Parish Council support this Application.
23/0276	Naze Barn Naze Lane East Freckleton Preston PR4 1UN	First floor extension to east side of Naze Barn.	Freckleton Parish Council cannot provide definite comment in the light of issues listed below
23/0278	4 Richardson Close Freckleton Preston PR4 1PF	Erection of side/rear single storey extension following demolition of existing rear conservatory, erection of 1.8m timber fence within side garden.	The Parish Council are happy with the proposed building extension, but concerns were noted on the erection of a 1.8m high fence. Clarification on the current wall as there is no mention in the application of the demolition of this wall.

**23/0276** - From a Planning perspective, whilst the proposed extension looks to be acceptable, there are issues regarding the actual planning application statements which the Parish Council are concerned about.

- 1) Neighbour notification process was flawed but is believed to be being addressed by FBC.
- 2) The statement in the application re visibility from a public path is incorrect. Footpath 8 follows the boundary of the site on the North and West side and indeed, lies upon the applicant's land. This is also incorrect in the supporting letter attached to the application.
- 3) There is an issue with the site boundary shown covering part of the River Dow, below high water mark. The High-Water Mark denotes the limit of ownership. Anything below this is Crown Property in the vast majority of properties adjoining the Ribble wetlands and Main Rivers, of which the Dow is one.

Signed...Mrs. P Mulholland, Chairman.....

Date .....05/06/2023.....

Sequence 2037

Initialled .....